

Matrix Codes and Rook Theory

Alberto Ravagnani

University College Dublin

Oberwolfach, March 2019

joint work with H. Gluesing-Luerssen

MacWilliams-type Identities

A classical result in coding theory:

Theorem (MacWilliams)

Let $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a code with the Hamming metric. Then for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ we have

$$W_j^H(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^j (-1)^\ell (q-1)^{j-\ell} \binom{i}{\ell} \binom{n-i}{j-\ell} W_i^H(\mathcal{C}).$$

These identities are invertible.

MacWilliams-type Identities

A classical result in coding theory:

Theorem (MacWilliams)

Let $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a code with the Hamming metric. Then for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ we have

$$W_j^H(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^j (-1)^\ell (q-1)^{j-\ell} \binom{i}{\ell} \binom{n-i}{j-\ell} W_i^H(\mathcal{C}).$$

These identities are invertible.

Generalizations of this result have been extensively studied in various contexts:

- association schemes
- finite abelian groups
- posets/lattices

Definition

Let $(G, +)$ be a finite abelian group. The character group of G is

$$\widehat{G} = \{\text{group homomorphisms } \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

endowed with point-wise multiplication:

$$\chi_1 \cdot \chi_2 (g) = \chi_1(g) \cdot \chi_2(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Definition

Let $(G, +)$ be a finite abelian group. The character group of G is

$$\widehat{G} = \{\text{group homomorphisms } \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

endowed with point-wise multiplication:

$$\chi_1 \cdot \chi_2 (g) = \chi_1(g) \cdot \chi_2(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

We focus on a special situation:

- $(G, +) = (V, +)$ is the additive group of a finite-dimensional linear space over \mathbb{F}_q
- V is endowed with a given scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

Remark

(\widehat{V}, \cdot) has a natural structure of \mathbb{F}_q -linear space via

$$a\chi(v) = \chi(av), \quad a \in \mathbb{F}_q, v \in V.$$

Moreover, $\dim(V) = \dim(\widehat{V})$.

Group Characters

We focus on a special situation:

- $(G, +) = (V, +)$ is the additive group of a finite-dimensional linear space over \mathbb{F}_q
- V is endowed with a given scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

Remark

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be used to identify the spaces $(V, +)$ and (\widehat{V}, \cdot) as follows.

Fix a non-trivial character $\xi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and let

$$\psi_\xi : V \rightarrow \widehat{V}, \quad \psi_\xi(v)(w) = \xi(\langle v, w \rangle) \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V.$$

Group Characters

We focus on a special situation:

- $(G, +) = (V, +)$ is the additive group of a finite-dimensional linear space over \mathbb{F}_q
- V is endowed with a given scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

Remark

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be used to identify the spaces $(V, +)$ and (\widehat{V}, \cdot) as follows.

Fix a non-trivial character $\xi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and let

$$\psi_\xi : V \rightarrow \widehat{V}, \quad \psi_\xi(v)(w) = \xi(\langle v, w \rangle) \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V.$$

Theorem (Folklore)

ψ_ξ is an \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism of linear spaces whenever ξ is non-trivial.

Different choices of ξ give different identifications. However, all the objects we are interested in will not depend on the choice of ξ .

Partitions

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V is **invariant** if $aP_i = P_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Example

Partitioning the elements of \mathbb{F}_q^n according to their Hamming weight yields \mathcal{P}^H .

Partitions

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V is **invariant** if $aP_i = P_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Example

Partitioning the elements of \mathbb{F}_q^n according to their Hamming weight yields \mathcal{P}^H .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an invariant partition of V ($P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$).

The **dual** of \mathcal{P} is the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ of V defined by the equivalence relation

$$w \sim w' \iff \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w) = \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w') \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

(recall: $\psi_\xi : (V, +) \rightarrow (\widehat{V}, \cdot)$ \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism).

Partitions

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V is **invariant** if $aP_i = P_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Example

Partitioning the elements of \mathbb{F}_q^n according to their Hamming weight yields \mathcal{P}^H .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an invariant partition of V ($P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$).

The **dual** of \mathcal{P} is the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ of V defined by the equivalence relation

$$w \sim w' \iff \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w) = \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w') \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

(recall: $\psi_\xi : (V, +) \rightarrow (\widehat{V}, \cdot)$ \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism).



I am using ξ to define $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$.

Partitions

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V is **invariant** if $aP_i = P_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Example

Partitioning the elements of \mathbb{F}_q^n according to their Hamming weight yields \mathcal{P}^H .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an invariant partition of V ($P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$).

The **dual** of \mathcal{P} is the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ of V defined by the equivalence relation

$$w \sim w' \iff \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w) = \sum_{v \in P_i} \psi_\xi(v)(w') \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

(recall: $\psi_\xi : (V, +) \rightarrow (\widehat{V}, \cdot)$ \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism).



I am using ξ to define $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$. However,

Proposition

$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ does not depend on ξ , if \mathcal{P} is invariant.

DATA:

- V an \mathbb{F}_q -space of finite dimension
- $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ a scalar product on V
- $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ an invariant partition of V

CONSTRUCTION: the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \{Q_j\}_{j \in J}$ of V (which is invariant as well)

DATA:

- V an \mathbb{F}_q -space of finite dimension
- $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ a scalar product on V
- $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ an invariant partition of V

CONSTRUCTION: the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \{Q_j\}_{j \in J}$ of V (which is invariant as well)

Definition

A **code** is an \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of V . Its **dual** is

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{w \in V \mid \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{C}\} \leq V.$$

Define:

- the \mathcal{P} -**distribution** of \mathcal{C} : $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}, i) = |\mathcal{C} \cap P_i|$, $i \in I$.
- the $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ -**distribution** of \mathcal{C}^\perp : $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}^\perp, j) = |\mathcal{C}^\perp \cap Q_j|$, $j \in J$.

Under certain conditions, MacWilliams-type identities hold for the \mathcal{P} - and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ -partition.

MacWilliams-type Identities

We say that \mathcal{P} is **Fourier-reflexive** if $|\mathcal{P}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}|$ and **self-dual** if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$.

(self-dual \implies Fourier-reflexive)

MacWilliams-type Identities

We say that \mathcal{P} is **Fourier-reflexive** if $|\mathcal{P}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}|$ and **self-dual** if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$.

(self-dual \implies Fourier-reflexive)

Theorem (Generalized MacWilliams Identities)

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be invariant and Fourier-reflexive.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \{Q_j\}_{j \in J}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \leq V$ be a code. We have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}^\perp, j) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i \in I} K(\mathcal{P}; i, j) \cdot \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}, i),$$

where $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$ are suitable numbers called **Krawtchouk coefficients**. Moreover, the matrix of the $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$ is of size $|I| \times |J| = |I| \times |I|$ and invertible.

MacWilliams-type Identities

We say that \mathcal{P} is **Fourier-reflexive** if $|\mathcal{P}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}|$ and **self-dual** if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$.

(self-dual \implies Fourier-reflexive)

Theorem (Generalized MacWilliams Identities)

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be invariant and Fourier-reflexive.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \{Q_j\}_{j \in J}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \leq V$ be a code. We have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}^\perp, j) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i \in I} K(\mathcal{P}; i, j) \cdot \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}, i),$$

where $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$ are suitable numbers called **Krawtchouk coefficients**. Moreover, the matrix of the $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$ is of size $|I| \times |J| = |I| \times |I|$ and invertible.

Definition

$$K(\mathcal{P}; i, j) = \sum_{w \in Q_j} \psi_\xi(w)(v), \quad \text{where } v \text{ is any vector in } P_i.$$

Again, this does not depend on ξ for invariant partitions.

Problems

Given V with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$,

- Construct Fourier-reflexive partitions \mathcal{P}
- Describe $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ and decide if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$ (self-duality)
- Compute $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$

Problems

Given V with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$,

- Construct Fourier-reflexive partitions \mathcal{P}
- Describe $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ and decide if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$ (self-duality)
- Compute $K(\mathcal{P}; i, j)$

Theorem (Delsarte)

The rank partition on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is self-dual of size $m+1$. Moreover,

$$K(\mathcal{P}^{\text{rk}}; i, j) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m (-1)^{j-\ell} q^{n\ell + \binom{j-\ell}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} m-\ell \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m-i \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

We concentrate on the matrix space $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ with $n \geq m$ endowed with the **trace product**:

$$\langle M, N \rangle = \text{Tr}(MN^t).$$

Other partitions

We study:

- the row-space partition \mathcal{P}^{rs}
- the pivot partition \mathcal{P}^{piv}

These are invariant partitions of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$.

Other partitions

We study:

- the row-space partition \mathcal{P}^{rs}
- the pivot partition \mathcal{P}^{piv}

These are invariant partitions of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$.

Results (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.):

- \mathcal{P}^{rs} is self-dual
- explicit formula for the Krawtchouk coefficients of \mathcal{P}^{rs}
- the pivot partition \mathcal{P}^{piv} is Fourier-reflexive (not self-dual)
- connection between the Krawtchouk coefficients of \mathcal{P}^{piv} and rook theory
- notions of extremality from \mathcal{P}^{rs} and \mathcal{P}^{piv} , and properties of extremal codes
- MacWilliams extension theorem fails for these partitions

The pivot partition

\mathcal{P}^{piv} partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ according to the pivot indices in the RRE form.

We have:

$$|\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}| = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} = 2^m.$$

The pivot partition

\mathcal{P}^{piv} partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ according to the pivot indices in the RRE form.

We have:

$$|\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}| = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} = 2^m.$$

Example:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bullet & 0 & 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{piv}(M) = (1, 3, 4).$$

The pivot partition

\mathcal{P}^{piv} partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ according to the pivot indices in the RRE form.

We have:

$$|\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}| = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} = 2^m.$$

Example:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bullet & 0 & 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{piv}(M) = (1, 3, 4).$$

Notation

Let $\Pi = \{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \mid 1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r \leq m\} \cup \{()\}$.

Then

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}} = (P_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Pi}.$$

We treat the elements of Π as sets or as lists, depending on what is more convenient.

The pivot partition

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

\mathcal{P}^{piv} is Fourier-reflexive, but not self-dual ($\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}} \neq \mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}$).

How does $\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}}$ look like?

The pivot partition

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

\mathcal{P}^{piv} is Fourier-reflexive, but not self-dual ($\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}} \neq \mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}$).

How does $\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}}$ look like?

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}} = \mathcal{P}^{\text{rpiv}}$, the reverse pivot partition.

$\mathcal{P}^{\text{rpiv}}$ partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ according to the pivot indices of the RRE form computed **from the right**.

The pivot partition

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

\mathcal{P}^{piv} is Fourier-reflexive, but not self-dual ($\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}} \neq \mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}$).

How does $\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}}$ look like?

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$\widehat{\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}} = \mathcal{P}^{\text{rpiv}}$, the reverse pivot partition.

$\mathcal{P}^{\text{rpiv}}$ partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ according to the pivot indices of the RRE form computed **from the right**.

Computing the Krawtchouk coefficients is a different story...

The pivot partition

Definition

A **Ferrers diagram** is a subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n] \times [m]$ that satisfies the following:

- 1 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $j < m$, then $(i, j+1) \in \mathcal{F}$ (right aligned),
- 2 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i > 1$, then $(i-1, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ (top aligned).

We represent a Ferrers diagram by its column lengths, $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$.

E.g.

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \bullet \end{array} = [1, 3, 3, 4]$$

The pivot partition

Definition

A **Ferrers diagram** is a subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n] \times [m]$ that satisfies the following:

- 1 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $j < m$, then $(i, j+1) \in \mathcal{F}$ (right aligned),
- 2 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i > 1$, then $(i-1, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ (top aligned).

We represent a Ferrers diagram by its column lengths, $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$.

E.g.

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \bullet \end{array} = [1, 3, 3, 4]$$

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathcal{F}]$ the space of matrices supported on \mathcal{F} , and let

$$P_r(\mathcal{F}) := \{M \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathcal{F}] \mid \text{rk}(M) = r\}.$$

The pivot partition

Definition

A **Ferrers diagram** is a subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n] \times [m]$ that satisfies the following:

- 1 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $j < m$, then $(i, j+1) \in \mathcal{F}$ (right aligned),
- 2 if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i > 1$, then $(i-1, j) \in \mathcal{F}$ (top aligned).

We represent a Ferrers diagram by its column lengths, $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$.

E.g.

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \bullet \end{array} = [1, 3, 3, 4]$$

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathcal{F}]$ the space of matrices supported on \mathcal{F} , and let

$$P_r(\mathcal{F}) := \{M \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathcal{F}] \mid \text{rk}(M) = r\}.$$

We can express the Krawtchouk coefficients of \mathcal{P}^{piv} in terms of $P_r(\mathcal{F})$, for certain r and for a suitable diagram \mathcal{F} .

The pivot partition

Using combinatorial tools (*regular support functions*):

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi$. Set

$$\sigma = [m] \setminus \mu, \quad \lambda \cap \sigma = (\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha_x}), \quad \mu \setminus \lambda = (\mu_{\beta_1}, \dots, \mu_{\beta_y}).$$

Furthermore, set

$$z_j = |\{i \in [x] \mid \lambda_{\alpha_i} < \mu_{\beta_j}\}| \text{ for } j \in [y], \quad \mathcal{F} = [z_1, \dots, z_y].$$

The pivot partition

Using combinatorial tools (*regular support functions*):

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi$. Set

$$\sigma = [m] \setminus \mu, \quad \lambda \cap \sigma = (\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha_x}), \quad \mu \setminus \lambda = (\mu_{\beta_1}, \dots, \mu_{\beta_y}).$$

Furthermore, set

$$z_j = |\{i \in [x] \mid \lambda_{\alpha_i} < \mu_{\beta_j}\}| \text{ for } j \in [y], \quad \mathcal{F} = [z_1, \dots, z_y].$$

Then

$$K(\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}; \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^{|\lambda| - t} q^{nt + \binom{|\lambda| - t}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{|\lambda \cap \sigma|} P_r(\mathcal{F}) \begin{bmatrix} |\lambda \cap \sigma| - r \\ t \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

The pivot partition

Using combinatorial tools (*regular support functions*):

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi$. Set

$$\sigma = [m] \setminus \mu, \quad \lambda \cap \sigma = (\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha_x}), \quad \mu \setminus \lambda = (\mu_{\beta_1}, \dots, \mu_{\beta_y}).$$

Furthermore, set

$$z_j = |\{i \in [x] \mid \lambda_{\alpha_i} < \mu_{\beta_j}\}| \text{ for } j \in [y], \quad \mathcal{F} = [z_1, \dots, z_y].$$

Then

$$K(\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}; \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^{|\lambda| - t} q^{nt + \binom{|\lambda| - t}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{|\lambda \cap \sigma|} P_r(\mathcal{F}) \begin{bmatrix} |\lambda \cap \sigma| - r \\ t \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Therefore, $K(\mathcal{P}^{\text{piv}}; \lambda, \mu)$ can be expressed in terms of the rank-distribution of $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathcal{F})$ for a suitable \mathcal{F} \rightarrow **rook theory**

Definition

The q -**rook polynomial** associated with \mathcal{F} and $r \geq 0$ is

$$R_r(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{C \in \text{NAR}_r(\mathcal{F})} q^{\text{inv}(C, \mathcal{F})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

where:

- $\text{NAR}_r(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of all placements of r non-attacking rooks on \mathcal{F} (non-attacking means that no two rooks are in the same column, and no two are in the same row)
- $\text{inv}(C, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{N}$ is computed as shown on the blackboard

Definition

The q -**rook polynomial** associated with \mathcal{F} and $r \geq 0$ is

$$R_r(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{C \in \text{NAR}_r(\mathcal{F})} q^{\text{inv}(C, \mathcal{F})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

where:

- $\text{NAR}_r(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of all placements of r non-attacking rooks on \mathcal{F} (non-attacking means that no two rooks are in the same column, and no two are in the same row)
- $\text{inv}(C, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{N}$ is computed as shown on the blackboard

Theorem (Haglund)

For any Ferrers diagram \mathcal{F} and any $r \geq 0$ we have

$$P_r(\mathcal{F}) = (q-1)^r q^{|\mathcal{F}|-r} R_r(\mathcal{F})|_{q^{-1}}$$

in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$.

Natural task: find an explicit expression for $R_r(\mathcal{F})$.

q -Rook Polynomials

An explicit formula for $R_r(\mathcal{F})$:

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

Let $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$ be an $n \times m$ -Ferrers diagram. For $k \in [m]$ define $a_k = c_k - k + 1$.

For $j \in [m]$ let $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be the j^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in m indeterminates ($\sigma_0 = 1, \dots, \sigma_m = x_1 \cdots x_m$).

Then

$$R_r(q) = \frac{q^{\binom{r+1}{2} - rm + \text{area}(\mathcal{F})} (-1)^{m-r}}{(1-q)^r \prod_{k=1}^{m-r} (1-q^k)} \sum_{t=m-r}^m (-1)^t \sigma_{m-t}(q^{-a_1}, \dots, q^{-a_m}) \prod_{j=0}^{m-r-1} (1-q^{t-j}).$$

q -Rook Polynomials

An explicit formula for $R_r(\mathcal{F})$:

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

Let $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$ be an $n \times m$ -Ferrers diagram. For $k \in [m]$ define $a_k = c_k - k + 1$.

For $j \in [m]$ let $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be the j^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in m indeterminates ($\sigma_0 = 1, \dots, \sigma_m = x_1 \cdots x_m$).

Then

$$R_r(q) = \frac{q^{\binom{r+1}{2} - rm + \text{area}(\mathcal{F})} (-1)^{m-r}}{(1-q)^r \prod_{k=1}^{m-r} (1-q^k)} \sum_{t=m-r}^m (-1)^t \sigma_{m-t}(q^{-a_1}, \dots, q^{-a_m}) \prod_{j=0}^{m-r-1} (1-q^{t-j}).$$

Combining this with Haglund's theorem we find an explicit expression for $P_r(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof is technical.

A different approach: compute $P_r(\mathcal{F})$ directly. Notation: $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$.

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$$P_r(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} q^{rm - \sum_{j=1}^r i_j} \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{c_{i_j} - j + 1} - 1).$$

Proof is short.

q -Rook Polynomials

A different approach: compute $P_r(\mathcal{F})$ directly. Notation: $\mathcal{F} = [c_1, \dots, c_m]$.

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$$P_r(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} q^{rm - \sum_{j=1}^r i_j} \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{c_{i_j} - j + 1} - 1).$$

Proof is short.

But inverting Haglund's theorem we also find a simple explicit formula for $R_r(\mathcal{F})$!

Corollary (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$$R_r(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{q^{\sum_{j=1}^m c_j - rm} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{i_j + j - c_{i_j} - 1} - q^{i_j})}{(1 - q)^r}.$$

q -Stirling Numbers

We can use these results to derive an explicit formula for the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind. The latter are defined via the recursion

$$S_{m+1,r} = q^{r-1} S_{m,r-1} + \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1} S_{m,r}$$

with initial conditions $S_{0,0}(q) = 1$ and $S_{m,r}(q) = 0$ for $r < 0$ or $r > m$.

q -Stirling Numbers

We can use these results to derive an explicit formula for the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind. The latter are defined via the recursion

$$S_{m+1,r} = q^{r-1} S_{m,r-1} + \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1} S_{m,r}$$

with initial conditions $S_{0,0}(q) = 1$ and $S_{m,r}(q) = 0$ for $r < 0$ or $r > m$.

Theorem (Garsia, Remmel)

$$S_{m+1,m+1-r} = R_r(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F} = [1, \dots, m]$ is the upper-triangular $m \times m$ Ferrers board.

q -Stirling Numbers

We can use these results to derive an explicit formula for the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind. The latter are defined via the recursion

$$S_{m+1,r} = q^{r-1} S_{m,r-1} + \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1} S_{m,r}$$

with initial conditions $S_{0,0}(q) = 1$ and $S_{m,r}(q) = 0$ for $r < 0$ or $r > m$.

Theorem (Garsia, Remmel)

$$S_{m+1,m+1-r} = R_r(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F} = [1, \dots, m]$ is the upper-triangular $m \times m$ Ferrers board.

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$$S_{m+1,m+1-r} = \frac{q^{\binom{m+1}{2} - rm} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{j-1} - q^{i_j})}{(1-q)^r} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq m+1.$$

q -Stirling Numbers

We can use these results to derive an explicit formula for the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind. The latter are defined via the recursion

$$S_{m+1,r} = q^{r-1} S_{m,r-1} + \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1} S_{m,r}$$

with initial conditions $S_{0,0}(q) = 1$ and $S_{m,r}(q) = 0$ for $r < 0$ or $r > m$.

Theorem (Garsia, Remmel)

$$S_{m+1,m+1-r} = R_r(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F} = [1, \dots, m]$ is the upper-triangular $m \times m$ Ferrers board.

Theorem (Gluesing-Luerssen, R.)

$$S_{m+1,m+1-r} = \frac{q^{\binom{m+1}{2} - rm} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{j-1} - q^{i_j})}{(1-q)^r} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq m+1.$$

Thank you very much!